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## Definitions

1. Standard timber
2. Standard small wood
3. Standard timber volume
4. Standard small wood volume
5. Standard total volume

Logs with a minimum mean diameter of 8 inches over bark at the thin end.

Anything less than 8 inches mean diameter over bark down to a minimum mean diameter of 2 inches over bark at the thin end.

The total volume of standard timber, calculated by full basal area and excluding bark.

The total volume of standard small wood, calculated by full basal area and including bark.

The total of standard timber volume and standard small wood volume.

## Introduction

The use of volume tables to the management of coniferous forests of North West Pakistan dates back to 1920's when Kulu averages worked out by Sir Gerald Trevor were applied in almost all working plans of these forests. Later on, Standard and Local Volume Tables were prepared for coniferous forests of different areas of Pakistan. However, no volume table was prepared for the natural forests of Gilgit Baltistan. Volume Tables prepared for other areas were applied for estimation of growing stock in the forests of Gilgit-Baltistan.

Gilgit-Baltistan is situated in the extreme north of Pakistan, bordering China and Afghanistan in the north ( $35^{\circ}-37^{\prime}$ ) and India in the east ( $72^{\circ}-75^{\prime}$ ), covering an area of 72,496 square kilometers. The whole area falls within the high mountain ranges of Karakorum, Himalayas, Hindukush and Pamir with most of the area situated above 4,500 meters above sea level.

Gilgit Baltistan hosts valuable forest ecosystems. The total forest area of Gilgit Baltistan is 337,491 ha. Major forest tree species of GB include Cedrus deodara (Deodar), Pinus wallichiana (Kail), Abies pindrow (Fir), Picea smithiana (Spruce), Pinus gerardiana (Chilghoza) and Quercus ilex (Oak). Accurate estimates of growing stock in the forests are not possible without local volume tables of the tree species.

The volume tables are prepared using different allomteric equations based on regression models. These tables give over bark and under bark estimates of small wood, timber and total volume of given tree species both in metric as well as British units. As the forests of Gilgit Baltistan are under tremendous pressure due to increasing demand for timber and fuelwood, the use of current volume tables to forest working plans will help in minimizing the overexploitation of the forests.

## DATA COLLECTION

## Selection of Sample Trees

Basic data for preparation of the current volume tables was collected during a study primarily designed for development of 'Local Biomass and Carbon Tables for Major Tree Species of Gilgit-Bbaltistan' during April-September, 2015. As the biomass study involved destructive sampling and felling of sample trees, the sample size was kept low. However, additional trees were measured for preparation of dia-height functions and volume estimation by taking data from standing sample trees by climbing them. Where the trees could not be climbed,
the measurements were taken with Spiegel Relaskop. The locations of the sample plots are shown in Figure 1. In total 199 trees were measured for volume estimation out of which 95 were felled for measurement whereas 105 trees were measured in standing position. DBH of the sample trees ranged from 8 cm to 123 cm whereas height ranged from 4.5-45 m. Sample trees were arranged in diameter classes of 5 cm from 6 to 125 cm . For determination of height functions, additional trees were measured to cover any variation in height due to site quality, slope and aspect. The location of sample trees is shown in Figure 1. For each species, 2-3 sample trees per DBH class were randomly selected and measured. Efforts were made to select trees of normal form and shape to closely represent the forest stands of the area. Trees with broken top, forked stem, excessive or less branching or any other abnormality were avoided. The detail of sample trees measured for development of dia-height regression models and volume estimation are given in table 1 and table 2 respectively.

Table 1. Detail of sample trees used in the preparation of dia-height functions

| Species | Range of dbh (cm) | Range of heights (m) | Number of sample <br> trees |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cedrus deodara | $8-152$ | $4.5-44$ | 59 |
| Pinus wallichiana | $8-110$ | $5-45$ | 32 |
| Pinus gerardiana | $8-65$ | $4.5-19.8$ | 35 |
| Abies pindrow | $6.5-118$ | $4.5-36$ | 144 |
| Picea smithiana | $9-161$ | $5-40.5$ | 59 |

Table 2. Detail of sample trees used in the preparation of volume tables

| Species | Range of <br> dbh $(\mathbf{c m})$ | Range of <br> heights (m) | Number of <br> sample trees <br> (felled) | Number of <br> sample trees <br> (standing) | Total Number <br> of sample <br> trees |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cedrus deodara | $8-123$ | $4.5-42$ | 32 | 20 | 52 |
| Pinus wallichiana | $8-110$ | $5-45$ | 25 | 16 | 41 |
| Pinus gerardiana | $8-65$ | $4.5-19.8$ | - | 35 | 35 |
| Abies pindrow | $6.5-100$ | $4.5-27.5$ | 22 | 15 | 37 |
| Picea smithiana | $9-118$ | $5-38$ | 16 | 18 | 34 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |



A Deodar Tree felled for volume estimation in Chilas


Figure 1: Location of Sample Trees


A view of dense coniferous forest in Gilgit-Baltistan

## Methods and Procedure of Measurement

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) and total height of the sample tree were measured before felling. Point of DBH was marked on stem at 1.37 m ( 4.5 feet) aboveground on uphill side and DBH was measured with dia tape upto one decimal in centimeter. Total height of the standing tree from ground to tip of the leading shoot was measured in meters upto two decimals with clinometer or relaskop. The sample trees were felled with the help of a chain saw as close to the ground as possible in a pre-decided direction to minimize damage to other trees. After felling the total height was re-measured with a measuring tape and recorded on the proforma (Annex-I). Bole heights upto 20 cm diameter and 5 cm diameter were measured for determining timber height and small wood height respectively. Besides, stand type, stand density, altitude, aspect and coordinates were also recorded with the help of a GPS.

After felling, the branches were removed from the stem. Trees were measured over-bark by dividing the stem and branches into 2 m logs with end $\log$ of variable length. The over bark mid diameter of the log and its length were measured for determining volume of logs using Huber's formula. Big branches upto 20 cm diameter at thin end were included in timber measurement whereas small branches upto 5 cm diameter at thin end were included in small wood. Volume upto 5 cm overbark diameter at the thin end of the stem including branches was taken as total volume of the tree, whereas volume upto 20 cm diameter over bark at the thin end of the stem including branches was taken as timber volume of the tree. The volume from 20 cm down to 5 cm over bark of the stem and branches was accounted as volume of small wood of the tree. Total volume of a tree was determined by adding volumes of all logs upto 5 cm at the thin end.


## Method adopted for volume estimation of standing sample trees

Some of the sample trees were measured in standing position. For this purpose the services of skilled climbers were hired. However, where climbing was not possible upper stem diameters were measured with Spiegel Relaskop. This was done after ascertaining that there is no significant difference between actual diameter measurement and Relaskop measurement. The DBH and total height of the sample trees were measured as per procedure described under the previous section.

The tree bole was marked at every 2 m above DBH and the mid diameter of the bole sections were measured using diameter tape. The volume of each section was determined through Huber's formula and summed up for the bole. Similarly length and mid diameter of all the branches were measured for calculating their volume. The volume of the branches was added with that of the bole to get total volume of the tree in cubic meter.


Measurement of standing sample tree

## Model Fitting

The method employed for development of the current volume tables consists of two stages. In the first stage an analytical relationship was developed between DBH and height and in the second stage allometric equations were developed for estimation of timber and total volume using various regression models. The following regression models were used for estimating height, timber volume and total volume for each species.
i. Models for Height Estimation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bD} \\
& \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bD}+\mathrm{cD}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bln}(\mathrm{D})
\end{aligned}
$$

ii. Models for Timber Volume (O.B) Estimation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{TM}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bD}+\mathrm{cD}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{TM}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+\mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2} \\
& \mathrm{TM}=\mathrm{aD}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \\
& \mathrm{TM}=\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

iii. Models for Total Volume (O.B) Estimation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{TV}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bD}+\mathrm{cD}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{TV}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+\mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2} \\
& \mathrm{TV}=\mathrm{aD} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}} \\
& \mathrm{TV}=\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} H\right)^{\mathrm{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where
$\mathrm{D}=$ Diameter over bark at Breast Height in cm
$\mathrm{H}=$ Total height of tree in m
$\mathrm{TM}=$ Timber Volume in $\mathrm{m}^{3}$
$\mathrm{TV}=$ Total Volume in $\mathrm{m}^{3}$
$\mathrm{ln}=$ Natural Logarithm
$\mathrm{a}=$ regression constant
$\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}=$ regression coefficients

The above models were used separately for each species. All the data analysis was performed in MS Excel and SPSS 16.

## Models evaluation and selection

All the above mentioned models were tested for all species and the model which showed best performance on the following criteria was finally selected.
i) Minimum sum of square of the residual error
ii) Minimum standard error of the estimate
iii) Maximum value of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

The equations alongwith indices of best fit for the selected models are given in the Table No.3. The graphical representations of the selected models are shown in figures 2-20.

Table 3. Regression Models alongwith indices of best fit

| Species | Estimate | Regression Model | Allometric equation | N | SEE <br> (\%) | SS of Residuals | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cedrus deodara (Deodar) | Height | $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{a}+\operatorname{lnD}$ | $\mathrm{H}=15.355 \ln (\mathrm{D})-34.394$ | 59 | 5.52 | 1733.47 | 0.743 |
|  | Timber Volume | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TV}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+\mathrm{c} \\ & \left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TV}=4 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+2 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+0.0478 \end{aligned}$ | 49 | 16.31 | 22.19 | 0.984 |
|  | Total Volume | $V=a\left(D^{2} H\right)^{b}$ | $\mathrm{TV}=4 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{0.9733}$ | 52 | 16.37 | 16.14 | 0.989 |
| Pinus wallichiana(Kail) | Height | $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{blnD}$ | $\mathrm{H}=14.456 \ln (\mathrm{D})-28.244$ | 32 | 7.37 | 835.84 | 0.807 |
|  | Timber Volume | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TV}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+\mathrm{c} \\ & \left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TV }=-5 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+3 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)-0.0489 \end{aligned}$ | 35 | 13.98 | 18.02 | 0.980 |
|  | Total Volume | $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} H\right)^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{V}=5 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{0.9614}$ | 41 | 14.97 | 23.56 | 0.993 |
| Pinus gerardiana (Chilghoza) | Height | $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bD}+\mathrm{cD}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}=-0.0004 \mathrm{D}^{2}+0.2491 \mathrm{D} \\ & +2.3583 \end{aligned}$ | 35 | 7.32 | 211.99 | 0.689 |
|  | Timber Volume | $T V=a+b D+c D^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TV}=8 \mathrm{E}-11\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+1 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+0.0572 \end{aligned}$ | 27 | 19.91 | 0.558 | 0.956 |
|  | Total Volume | $V=a+b D+c D^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}=8 \mathrm{E}-11\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+2 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+0.0427 \end{aligned}$ | 35 | 20.90 | 0.417 | 0.962 |
| Abies pindrow (Fir) | Height | $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{blnD}$ | $\mathrm{H}=9.7271 \ln (\mathrm{D})-11.394$ | 144 | 3.47 | 2951.89 | 0.694 |
|  | Timber Volume | $T V=a\left(D^{2} H\right)^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{TV}=7 \mathrm{E}-06\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{1.1029}$ | 31 | 13.98 | 5.562 | 0.961 |
|  | Total Volume | $V=a\left(D^{2} H\right)^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{V}=5 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{0.9508}$ | 37 | 15.29 | 6.688 | 0.991 |
| Picea smithiana (Spruce) | Height | $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{blnD}$ | $\mathrm{H}=11.658 \ln (\mathrm{D})-20.392$ | 59 | 4.29 | 799.50 | 0.790 |
|  | Timber Volume | $T V=a+b D+c D^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TV=-7E-12(D } \left.{ }^{2} H\right)^{2}+3 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)-0.1623 \end{aligned}$ | 32 | 21.51 | 36.08 | 0.995 |
|  | Total Volume | $V=a+b D+c D^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}=-6 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+3 \mathrm{E}- \\ & 05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)-0.0022 \end{aligned}$ | 34 | 21.11 | 2.537 | 0.996 |

## Volume Tables

Volume Tables were prepared on the basis of selected regression models both in metric as well as British units. The Volume Tables given from table 1 to 5 were prepared by 2 cm diameter class interval in metric units and the tables from 6 to 10 were prepared by 1 inch diameter class intervals in British units. Diameter classes show mid values for the range of diameters. For example 50 cm DBH class include trees with DBH 49.1 to 51.0 cm in metric units. On the other hand, 20 DBH class includes trees ranging from 19.6 to 20.5 inches in British units. These tables provide under bark estimates of timber and total volume. The small wood volume estimates can be obtained by subtracting timber from the total volume of the tree. In order to obtain over bark estimates, multiply the volume table figures by factors given at the end of each table.

TABLE-1
Local Volume Table (UB) of Cedrus deodara (Deodar) in Metric Units

| DBH_cm | Height_m | Timber Volume_m3 | Total Volume_m3 | DBH_cm | Height_m | Timber Volume_m3 | Total Volume_m3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 5.00 | - | 0.024 | 82 | 33.27 | 4.722 | 6.440 |
| 14 | 6.13 | - | 0.040 | 84 | 33.64 | 5.021 | 6.823 |
| 16 | 8.18 | - | 0.068 | 86 | 34.00 | 5.330 | 7.217 |
| 18 | 9.99 |  | 0.104 | 88 | 34.36 | 5.652 | 7.624 |
| 20 | 11.61 | 0.063 | 0.148 | 90 | 34.70 | 5.985 | 8.043 |
| 22 | 13.07 | 0.076 | 0.200 | 92 | 35.04 | 6.331 | 8.474 |
| 24 | 14.41 | 0.214 | 0.261 | 94 | 35.37 | 6.689 | 8.917 |
| 26 | 15.63 | 0.260 | 0.330 | 96 | 35.69 | 7.059 | 9.373 |
| 28 | 16.77 | 0.311 | 0.408 | 98 | 36.01 | 7.443 | 9.841 |
| 30 | 17.83 | 0.370 | 0.496 | 100 | 36.32 | 7.839 | 10.321 |
| 32 | 18.82 | 0.435 | 0.592 | 102 | 36.62 | 8.249 | 10.814 |
| 34 | 19.75 | 0.507 | 0.699 | 104 | 36.92 | 8.672 | 11.320 |
| 36 | 20.63 | 0.585 | 0.815 | 106 | 37.21 | 9.110 | 11.838 |
| 38 | 21.46 | 0.671 | 0.941 | 108 | 37.50 | 9.561 | 12.369 |
| 40 | 22.25 | 0.765 | 1.076 | 110 | 37.78 | 10.027 | 12.912 |
| 42 | 23.00 | 0.866 | 1.222 | 112 | 38.06 | 10.508 | 13.469 |
| 44 | 23.71 | 0.974 | 1.379 | 114 | 38.33 | 11.003 | 14.038 |
| 46 | 24.39 | 1.091 | 1.545 | 116 | 38.60 | 11.514 | 14.619 |
| 48 | 25.05 | 1.215 | 1.723 | 118 | 38.86 | 12.041 | 15.214 |
| 50 | 25.68 | 1.348 | 1.911 | 120 | 39.12 | 12.583 | 15.822 |
| 52 | 26.28 | 1.489 | 2.109 | 122 | 39.37 | 13.142 | 16.442 |
| 54 | 26.86 | 1.639 | 2.319 | 124 | 39.62 | 13.717 | 17.076 |
| 56 | 27.42 | 1.797 | 2.539 | 126 | 39.87 | 14.309 | 17.722 |
| 58 | 27.95 | 1.964 | 2.771 | 128 | 40.11 | 14.918 | 18.382 |
| 60 | 28.47 | 2.140 | 3.013 | 130 | 40.35 | 15.545 | 19.055 |
| 62 | 28.98 | 2.325 | 3.267 | 132 | 40.58 | 16.190 | 19.740 |
| 64 | 29.47 | 2.520 | 3.532 | 134 | 40.81 | 16.852 | 20.439 |
| 66 | 29.94 | 2.724 | 3.809 | 136 | 41.04 | 17.534 | 21.151 |
| 68 | 30.40 | 2.938 | 4.097 | 138 | 41.26 | 18.235 | 21.877 |
| 70 | 30.84 | 3.162 | 4.396 | 140 | 41.48 | 18.954 | 22.615 |
| 72 | 31.27 | 3.395 | 4.708 | 142 | 41.70 | 19.694 | 23.367 |
| 74 | 31.69 | 3.640 | 5.031 | 144 | 41.92 | 20.454 | 24.133 |
| 76 | 32.10 | 3.894 | 5.365 | 146 | 42.13 | 21.234 | 24.911 |
| 78 | 32.50 | 4.159 | 5.712 | 148 | 42.34 | 22.035 | 25.703 |
| 80 | 32.89 | 4.435 | 6.070 | 150 | 42.54 | 22.858 | 26.509 |

Derived from the equations:
Volume (UB) $=4 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{0.9733}$
Timber Volume $(\mathrm{UB})=4 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+2 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+0.0478$
Height $=15.355 \ln (\mathrm{D})-34.394$
Where D is DBH in $\mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{H}$ is tree height in $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ln}$ is the natural $\log$
To obtain over bark estimates multiply values in the table by 1.18

TABLE-2
Local Volume Table (UB) of Pinus wallichiana (Kail/Blue Pine) in Metric Units

| DBH_cm | Height_m | Timber Volume_m3 | Total <br> Volume_m3 | DBH_cm | Height_m | Timber <br> Volume_m3 | Total <br> Volume_m3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 7.68 | - | 0.042 | 82 | 35.46 | 6.820 | 7.392 |
| 14 | 9.91 | - | 0.072 | 84 | 35.81 | 7.212 | 7.816 |
| 16 | 11.84 | - | 0.111 | 86 | 36.15 | 7.614 | 8.252 |
| 18 | 13.54 | - | 0.159 | 88 | 36.48 | 8.027 | 8.701 |
| 20 | 15.06 | 0.132 | 0.215 | 90 | 36.81 | 8.450 | 9.163 |
| 22 | 16.44 | 0.189 | 0.281 | 92 | 37.12 | 8.884 | 9.638 |
| 24 | 17.70 | 0.256 | 0.357 | 94 | 37.43 | 9.327 | 10.126 |
| 26 | 18.86 | 0.333 | 0.442 | 96 | 37.74 | 9.780 | 10.627 |
| 28 | 19.93 | 0.419 | 0.538 | 98 | 38.04 | 10.243 | 11.140 |
| 30 | 20.92 | 0.514 | 0.644 | 100 | 38.33 | 10.715 | 11.667 |
| 32 | 21.86 | 0.620 | 0.760 | 102 | 38.61 | 11.196 | 12.207 |
| 34 | 22.73 | 0.736 | 0.887 | 104 | 38.90 | 11.687 | 12.760 |
| 36 | 23.56 | 0.862 | 1.025 | 106 | 39.17 | 12.186 | 13.326 |
| 38 | 24.34 | 0.999 | 1.173 | 108 | 39.44 | 12.694 | 13.905 |
| 40 | 25.08 | 1.147 | 1.333 | 110 | 39.71 | 13.210 | 14.498 |
| 42 | 25.79 | 1.305 | 1.503 | 112 | 39.97 | 13.735 | 15.104 |
| 44 | 26.46 | 1.475 | 1.685 | 114 | 40.22 | 14.267 | 15.723 |
| 46 | 27.10 | 1.655 | 1.879 | 116 | 40.47 | 14.807 | 16.355 |
| 48 | 27.72 | 1.847 | 2.083 | 118 | 40.72 | 15.354 | 17.001 |
| 50 | 28.31 | 2.049 | 2.299 | 120 | 40.96 | 15.908 | 17.660 |
| 52 | 28.88 | 2.263 | 2.527 | 122 | 41.20 | 16.469 | 18.332 |
| 54 | 29.42 | 2.488 | 2.767 | 124 | 41.44 | 17.036 | 19.018 |
| 56 | 29.95 | 2.724 | 3.018 | 126 | 41.67 | 17.609 | 19.718 |
| 58 | 30.45 | 2.972 | 3.281 | 128 | 41.90 | 18.188 | 20.431 |
| 60 | 30.94 | 3.231 | 3.557 | 130 | 42.12 | 18.773 | 21.157 |
| 62 | 31.42 | 3.501 | 3.844 | 132 | 42.34 | 19.363 | 21.897 |
| 64 | 31.88 | 3.783 | 4.143 | 134 | 42.56 | 19.957 | 22.651 |
| 66 | 32.32 | 4.076 | 4.455 | 136 | 42.77 | 20.556 | 23.418 |
| 68 | 32.75 | 4.380 | 4.778 | 138 | 42.98 | 21.158 | 24.199 |
| 70 | 33.17 | 4.695 | 5.114 | 140 | 43.19 | 21.765 | 24.993 |
| 72 | 33.58 | 5.022 | 5.463 | 142 | 43.40 | 22.374 | 25.802 |
| 74 | 33.98 | 5.360 | 5.824 | 144 | 43.60 | 22.987 | 26.624 |
| 76 | 34.36 | 5.708 | 6.197 | 146 | 43.80 | 23.601 | 27.459 |
| 78 | 34.74 | 6.068 | 6.583 | 148 | 44.00 | 24.218 | 28.309 |
| 80 | 35.10 | 6.438 | 6.981 | 150 | 44.19 | 24.836 | 29.172 |

Derived from the equations:
Total Volume $(\mathrm{UB})=5 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{0.9614}$
Timber Volume $(\mathrm{UB})=-5 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+3 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)-0.0489$
Height $=14.456 \ln (\mathrm{D})-28.244$
Where D is DBH in cm , H is tree height in m ,
To obtain over bark estimates multiply values in the table by 1.14

TABLE-3
Local Volume Table (UB) of Pinus gerardiana (Chilghoza Pine) in Metric Units

| DBH_cm | Height_m | Timber <br> Volume_m3 | Total <br> Volume_m3 | DBH_cm | Height_m | Timber <br> Volume_m3 | Total <br> Volume_m3 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 | 5.29 | - | 0.058 | 58 | 15.46 | 0.794 | 1.299 |
| 14 | 5.77 | - | 0.065 | 60 | 15.86 | 0.889 | 1.446 |
| 16 | 6.24 | - | 0.075 | 62 | 16.26 | 0.995 | 1.606 |
| 18 | 6.71 | - | 0.087 | 64 | 16.66 | 1.112 | 1.780 |
| 20 | 7.18 | 0.087 | 0.101 | 66 | 17.06 | 1.242 | 1.970 |
| 22 | 7.64 | 0.095 | 0.118 | 68 | 17.45 | 1.385 | 2.177 |
| 24 | 8.11 | 0.106 | 0.138 | 70 | 17.84 | 1.542 | 2.402 |
| 26 | 8.56 | 0.118 | 0.161 | 72 | 18.22 | 1.715 | 2.645 |
| 28 | 9.02 | 0.132 | 0.188 | 74 | 18.60 | 1.906 | 2.910 |
| 30 | 9.47 | 0.148 | 0.219 | 76 | 18.98 | 2.115 | 3.197 |
| 32 | 9.92 | 0.167 | 0.254 | 78 | 19.35 | 2.344 | 3.507 |
| 34 | 10.37 | 0.189 | 0.294 | 80 | 19.73 | 2.595 | 3.843 |
| 36 | 10.81 | 0.213 | 0.339 | 82 | 20.09 | 2.869 | 4.206 |
| 38 | 11.25 | 0.241 | 0.389 | 84 | 20.46 | 3.168 | 4.597 |
| 40 | 11.68 | 0.272 | 0.444 | 86 | 20.82 | 3.495 | 5.020 |
| 42 | 12.11 | 0.307 | 0.507 | 88 | 21.18 | 3.850 | 5.476 |
| 44 | 12.54 | 0.347 | 0.576 | 90 | 21.54 | 4.236 | 5.966 |
| 46 | 12.97 | 0.392 | 0.652 | 92 | 21.89 | 4.656 | 6.494 |
| 48 | 13.39 | 0.442 | 0.736 | 94 | 22.24 | 5.111 | 7.062 |
| 50 | 13.81 | 0.498 | 0.829 | 96 | 22.59 | 5.605 | 7.672 |
| 52 | 14.23 | 0.560 | 0.931 | 98 | 22.93 | 6.138 | 8.326 |
| 54 | 14.64 | 0.630 | 1.043 | 100 | 23.27 | 6.715 | 9.028 |
| 56 | 15.05 | 0.708 | 1.165 | - |  | - | - |

Derived from the equations:
Total Volume $(\mathrm{UB})=8 \mathrm{E}-11\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+2 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+0.0427$
Timber Volume $(\mathrm{UB})=8 \mathrm{E}-11\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+1 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+0.0572$
Height $=-0.0004 \mathrm{D}^{2}+0.2491 \mathrm{D}+2.3583$
Where D is DBH in $\mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{H}$ is tree height in m ,
To obtain over bark estimates multiply values in the table by 1.12

TABLE-4
Local Volume Table (UB) of Abies pindrow (Fir) in Metric Units

| DBH_cm | Height_m | Timber Volume_m3 | Total Volume_m3 | DBH_cm | Height_m | Timber Volume_m3 | Total Volume_m3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 12.78 |  | 0.064 | 58 | 28.10 | 2.151 | 2.690 |
| 14 | 14.28 |  | 0.095 | 60 | 28.43 | 2.348 | 2.901 |
| 16 | 15.58 |  | 0.133 | 62 | 28.75 | 2.556 | 3.121 |
| 18 | 16.72 |  | 0.177 | 64 | 29.06 | 2.774 | 3.349 |
| 20 | 17.75 | 0.124 | 0.229 | 66 | 29.36 | 3.002 | 3.585 |
| 22 | 18.67 | 0.162 | 0.289 | 68 | 29.65 | 3.241 | 3.831 |
| 24 | 19.52 | 0.206 | 0.355 | 70 | 29.93 | 3.492 | 4.084 |
| 26 | 20.30 | 0.256 | 0.429 | 72 | 30.21 | 3.753 | 4.347 |
| 28 | 21.02 | 0.313 | 0.511 | 74 | 30.47 | 4.026 | 4.617 |
| 30 | 21.69 | 0.378 | 0.600 | 76 | 30.73 | 4.310 | 4.897 |
| 32 | 22.32 | 0.449 | 0.697 | 78 | 30.98 | 4.605 | 5.185 |
| 34 | 22.91 | 0.529 | 0.802 | 80 | 31.23 | 4.913 | 5.482 |
| 36 | 23.46 | 0.616 | 0.915 | 82 | 31.47 | 5.232 | 5.787 |
| 38 | 23.99 | 0.711 | 1.036 | 84 | 31.70 | 5.562 | 6.102 |
| 40 | 24.49 | 0.814 | 1.164 | 86 | 31.93 | 5.905 | 6.425 |
| 42 | 24.96 | 0.926 | 1.301 | 88 | 32.16 | 6.261 | 6.757 |
| 44 | 25.42 | 1.047 | 1.446 | 90 | 32.38 | 6.628 | 7.097 |
| 46 | 25.85 | 1.176 | 1.599 | 92 | 32.59 | 7.008 | 7.446 |
| 48 | 26.26 | 1.315 | 1.760 | 94 | 32.80 | 7.401 | 7.805 |
| 50 | 26.66 | 1.463 | 1.929 | 96 | 33.00 | 7.806 | 8.172 |
| 52 | 27.04 | 1.620 | 2.107 | 98 | 33.20 | 8.224 | 8.547 |
| 54 | 27.41 | 1.787 | 2.293 | 100 | 33.40 | 8.655 | 8.932 |
| 56 | 27.76 | 1.964 | 2.487 |  |  |  |  |

Derived from the equations:
Total Volume (UB) $=5 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{0.9508}$
Timber Volume $(\mathrm{UB})=7 \mathrm{E}-06\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{1.1029}$
Height $=9.7271 \ln (\mathrm{D})-11.394$
Where D is DBH in $\mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{H}$ is tree height in m
To obtain over bark estimates multiply values in the table by 1.22

TABLE-5
Local Volume Table (UB) of Picea smithiana (Spruce) in Metric Units

| DBH_cm | Height_m | Timber Volume m3 | Total Volume m3 | DBH_cm | Height_m | Timber Volume_m3 | Total Volume_m3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 8.58 | - | 0.035 | 82 | 30.98 | 5.784 | 5.987 |
| 14 | 10.37 | - | 0.059 | 84 | 31.26 | 6.115 | 6.323 |
| 16 | 11.93 | - | 0.089 | 86 | 31.54 | 6.454 | 6.669 |
| 18 | 13.30 | - | 0.127 | 88 | 31.80 | 6.802 | 7.023 |
| 20 | 14.53 | - | 0.172 | 90 | 32.07 | 7.158 | 7.385 |
| 22 | 15.64 | 0.064 | 0.225 | 92 | 32.32 | 7.521 | 7.756 |
| 24 | 16.66 | 0.125 | 0.285 | 94 | 32.57 | 7.892 | 8.135 |
| 26 | 17.59 | 0.193 | 0.354 | 96 | 32.82 | 8.271 | 8.523 |
| 28 | 18.45 | 0.270 | 0.431 | 98 | 33.06 | 8.657 | 8.918 |
| 30 | 19.26 | 0.356 | 0.516 | 100 | 33.30 | 9.050 | 9.321 |
| 32 | 20.01 | 0.450 | 0.610 | 102 | 33.53 | 9.450 | 9.732 |
| 34 | 20.72 | 0.552 | 0.713 | 104 | 33.75 | 9.857 | 10.150 |
| 36 | 21.38 | 0.664 | 0.825 | 106 | 33.97 | 10.270 | 10.576 |
| 38 | 22.01 | 0.784 | 0.945 | 108 | 34.19 | 10.689 | 11.008 |
| 40 | 22.61 | 0.914 | 1.075 | 110 | 34.41 | 11.114 | 11.447 |
| 42 | 23.18 | 1.053 | 1.215 | 112 | 34.62 | 11.545 | 11.893 |
| 44 | 23.72 | 1.201 | 1.363 | 114 | 34.82 | 11.981 | 12.346 |
| 46 | 24.24 | 1.358 | 1.521 | 116 | 35.03 | 12.422 | 12.804 |
| 48 | 24.74 | 1.525 | 1.688 | 118 | 35.22 | 12.868 | 13.268 |
| 50 | 25.21 | 1.701 | 1.865 | 120 | 35.42 | 13.318 | 13.739 |
| 52 | 25.67 | 1.886 | 2.051 | 122 | 35.61 | 13.773 | 14.214 |
| 54 | 26.11 | 2.081 | 2.247 | 124 | 35.80 | 14.231 | 14.695 |
| 56 | 26.54 | 2.286 | 2.453 | 126 | 35.99 | 14.693 | 15.180 |
| 58 | 26.94 | 2.499 | 2.668 | 128 | 36.17 | 15.159 | 15.670 |
| 60 | 27.34 | 2.723 | 2.892 | 130 | 36.35 | 15.627 | 16.164 |
| 62 | 27.72 | 2.955 | 3.127 | 132 | 36.53 | 16.097 | 16.663 |
| 64 | 28.09 | 3.197 | 3.370 | 134 | 36.71 | 16.570 | 17.165 |
| 66 | 28.45 | 3.448 | 3.624 | 136 | 36.88 | 17.044 | 17.670 |
| 68 | 28.80 | 3.709 | 3.886 | 138 | 37.05 | 17.520 | 18.178 |
| 70 | 29.14 | 3.978 | 4.159 | 140 | 37.22 | 17.997 | 18.689 |
| 72 | 29.47 | 4.257 | 4.440 | 142 | 37.38 | 18.474 | 19.202 |
| 74 | 29.78 | 4.545 | 4.731 | 144 | 37.55 | 18.951 | 19.718 |
| 76 | 30.10 | 4.841 | 5.031 | 146 | 37.71 | 19.428 | 20.234 |
| 78 | 30.40 | 5.147 | 5.341 | 148 | 37.87 | 19.904 | 20.752 |
| 80 | 30.69 | 5.461 | 5.659 | 150 | 38.02 | 20.379 | 21.271 |

Derived from the equations:
Total Volume $(\mathrm{UB})=-6 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+3 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)-0.0022$
Timber Volume $(\mathrm{UB})=-7 \mathrm{E}-12\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}+3 \mathrm{E}-05\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)-0.1623$
Height $=11.658 \ln (\mathrm{D})-20.392$
Where D is DBH in cm, H is tree height in m
To obtain over bark estimates multiply values in the table by 1.15

TABLE-6
Local Volume Table (UB) of Pinus gerardiana (Chilghoza Pine) in British Units

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBH_inc } \\ & \text { h } \end{aligned}$ | Height_f <br> t | Timber <br> Volume(UB)_cf <br> t | Total Volume (UB)_cft | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBH_inc } \\ & \text { h } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Height_f $\mathrm{t}$ | Timber Volume(UB)_cf t | Total Volume (UB)_cf t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 20 |  | 2.5 | 24 | 53 | 33.6 | 54.4 |
| 7 | 22 |  | 3.0 | 25 | 55 | 38.8 | 62.2 |
| 8 | 24 |  | 3.7 | 26 | 57 | 44.7 | 70.8 |
| 9 | 26 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 27 | 58 | 51.4 | 80.4 |
| 10 | 28 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 28 | 60 | 58.9 | 91.1 |
| 11 | 30 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 29 | 62 | 67.5 | 103.0 |
| 12 | 32 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 30 | 63 | 77.1 | 116.2 |
| 13 | 33 | 6.3 | 9.7 | 31 | 65 | 88.0 | 130.8 |
| 14 | 35 | 7.4 | 11.7 | 32 | 67 | 100.2 | 147.0 |
| 15 | 37 | 8.6 | 13.9 | 33 | 68 | 113.8 | 164.9 |
| 16 | 39 | 10.1 | 16.5 | 34 | 70 | 129.1 | 184.6 |
| 17 | 41 | 11.8 | 19.4 | 35 | 71 | 146.1 | 206.3 |
| 18 | 43 | 13.7 | 22.8 | 36 | 73 | 165.1 | 230.2 |
| 19 | 44 | 16.0 | 26.6 | 37 | 74 | 186.2 | 256.4 |
| 20 | 46 | 18.6 | 31.0 | 38 | 76 | 209.5 | 285.2 |
| 21 | 48 | 21.7 | 35.9 | 39 | 77 | 235.4 | 316.7 |
| 22 | 50 | 25.1 | 41.4 | 40 | 79 | 264.0 | 351.2 |
| 23 | 52 | 29.1 | 47.5 |  |  |  |  |

To obtain over bark estimates multiply values in the table by 1.12

TABLE-7
Local Volume Table (UB) of Pinus wallichiana (Kail/Blue Pine) in British Units

| DBH_inch | Height_ft | Timber Volume_cft | Total Volume_cft | DBH_inch | Height_ft | Timber Volume_cft | Total <br> Volume_cft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 36 | - | 3.3 | 34 | 120 | 273.9 | 296.9 |
| 7 | 43 | - | 5.4 | 35 | 121 | 292.8 | 317.4 |
| 8 | 50 | - | 7.9 | 36 | 123 | 312.2 | 338.7 |
| 9 | 56 | 7.6 | 11.0 | 37 | 124 | 332.2 | 360.7 |
| 10 | 61 | 10.9 | 14.7 | 38 | 125 | 352.8 | 383.5 |
| 11 | 65 | 14.7 | 18.9 | 39 | 127 | 373.9 | 407.0 |
| 12 | 70 | 19.1 | 23.7 | 40 | 128 | 395.6 | 431.3 |
| 13 | 73 | 24.0 | 29.2 | 41 | 129 | 417.8 | 456.3 |
| 14 | 77 | 29.6 | 35.2 | 42 | 130 | 440.5 | 482.1 |
| 15 | 80 | 35.7 | 41.9 | 43 | 131 | 463.7 | 508.7 |
| 16 | 83 | 42.5 | 49.2 | 44 | 132 | 487.3 | 536.0 |
| 17 | 86 | 49.9 | 57.1 | 45 | 133 | 511.4 | 564.1 |
| 18 | 89 | 57.9 | 65.7 | 46 | 134 | 535.9 | 592.9 |
| 19 | 92 | 66.5 | 75.0 | 47 | 136 | 560.9 | 622.6 |
| 20 | 94 | 75.8 | 84.9 | 48 | 137 | 586.2 | 653.0 |
| 21 | 97 | 85.8 | 95.5 | 49 | 138 | 611.9 | 684.1 |
| 22 | 99 | 96.4 | 106.8 | 50 | 139 | 637.9 | 716.1 |
| 23 | 101 | 107.6 | 118.7 | 51 | 139 | 664.3 | 748.8 |
| 24 | 103 | 119.5 | 131.4 | 52 | 140 | 690.9 | 782.3 |
| 25 | 105 | 132.1 | 144.7 | 53 | 141 | 717.9 | 816.6 |
| 26 | 107 | 145.3 | 158.8 | 54 | 142 | 745.0 | 851.7 |
| 27 | 109 | 159.2 | 173.5 | 55 | 143 | 772.4 | 887.6 |
| 28 | 110 | 173.7 | 189.0 | 56 | 144 | 799.9 | 924.3 |
| 29 | 112 | 188.8 | 205.1 | 57 | 145 | 827.6 | 961.7 |
| 30 | 114 | 204.6 | 222.0 | 58 | 146 | 855.4 | 1000.0 |
| 31 | 115 | 221.0 | 239.7 | 59 | 147 | 883.3 | 1039.0 |
| 32 | 117 | 238.0 | 258.0 | 60 | 147 | 911.3 | 1078.9 |
| 33 | 118 | 255.7 | 277.1 |  |  |  |  |

To obtain over bark estimates multiply values in the table by 1.14

TABLE-8

## Local Volume Table (UB) of Abies pindrow (Fir) in British Units

| DBH_in <br> ch | Height_ <br> ft | Timber <br> Volume(UB)_ <br> cft | Total <br> Volume(UB)__ <br> cft | DBH_in <br> ch | Height_ <br> ft | Timber <br> Volume(UB)_ <br> fft | Total <br> Volume(UB)_ <br> cft |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 50 | - | 4.1 | 24 | 94 | 86.4 | 106.1 |
| 7 | 54 | - | 6.1 | 25 | 95 | 96.0 | 116.2 |
| 8 | 59 | 4.6 | 8.4 | 26 | 96 | 106.2 | 126.8 |
| 9 | 62 | 6.3 | 11.2 | 27 | 98 | 117.0 | 137.8 |
| 10 | 66 | 8.5 | 14.3 | 28 | 99 | 128.4 | 149.4 |
| 11 | 69 | 11.0 | 18.0 | 29 | 100 | 140.5 | 161.4 |
| 12 | 72 | 13.9 | 22.0 | 30 | 101 | 153.2 | 173.9 |
| 13 | 74 | 17.3 | 26.5 | 31 | 102 | 166.6 | 187.0 |
| 14 | 77 | 21.0 | 31.4 | 32 | 103 | 180.6 | 200.5 |
| 15 | 79 | 25.3 | 36.8 | 33 | 104 | 195.4 | 214.5 |
| 16 | 81 | 30.0 | 42.6 | 34 | 105 | 210.8 | 229.0 |
| 17 | 83 | 35.2 | 48.9 | 35 | 106 | 226.9 | 244.0 |
| 18 | 85 | 40.9 | 55.7 | 36 | 107 | 243.7 | 259.5 |
| 19 | 86 | 47.1 | 62.9 | 37 | 108 | 261.2 | 275.5 |
| 20 | 88 | 53.8 | 70.6 | 38 | 108 | 279.5 | 292.0 |
| 21 | 90 | 61.1 | 78.8 | 39 | 109 | 298.4 | 309.0 |
| 22 | 91 | 69.0 | 87.4 | 40 | 110 | 318.1 | 326.5 |
| 23 | 92 | 77.4 | 96.5 |  |  |  |  |

To obtain over bark estimates multiply values in the table by 1.22

TABLE-9

## Local Volume Table (UB) of Cedrus deodara (Deodar) in British Units

| DBH_inch | Height_ft | Timber Volume_cft | Total <br> Volume_cft | DBH_inch | Height_ft | Timber Volume_cft | Total Volume_cft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 15 | - | 0.9 | 33 | 110 | 176.3 | 239.7 |
| 6 | 24 | - | 2.0 | 34 | 112 | 190.3 | 257.4 |
| 7 | 32 | - | 3.5 | 35 | 113 | 204.8 | 275.8 |
| 8 | 39 | - | 5.5 | 36 | 115 | 220.1 | 294.9 |
| 9 | 45 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 37 | 116 | 236.1 | 314.7 |
| 10 | 50 | 8.7 | 10.9 | 38 | 117 | 252.8 | 335.3 |
| 11 | 55 | 10.9 | 14.3 | 39 | 119 | 270.2 | 356.5 |
| 12 | 59 | 13.6 | 18.3 | 40 | 120 | 288.4 | 378.4 |
| 13 | 63 | 16.6 | 22.8 | 41 | 121 | 307.3 | 401.0 |
| 14 | 67 | 20.0 | 27.8 | 42 | 122 | 327.1 | 424.4 |
| 15 | 71 | 23.9 | 33.4 | 43 | 124 | 347.6 | 448.5 |
| 16 | 74 | 28.1 | 39.6 | 44 | 125 | 369.0 | 473.3 |
| 17 | 77 | 32.8 | 46.4 | 45 | 126 | 391.3 | 498.8 |
| 18 | 80 | 37.9 | 53.7 | 46 | 127 | 414.4 | 525.1 |
| 19 | 82 | 43.5 | 61.7 | 47 | 128 | 438.4 | 552.0 |
| 20 | 85 | 49.6 | 70.2 | 48 | 129 | 463.3 | 579.8 |
| 21 | 87 | 56.1 | 79.4 | 49 | 130 | 489.2 | 608.2 |
| 22 | 90 | 63.1 | 89.2 | 50 | 131 | 516.0 | 637.5 |
| 23 | 92 | 70.6 | 99.6 | 51 | 132 | 543.8 | 667.4 |
| 24 | 94 | 78.7 | 110.7 | 52 | 133 | 572.7 | 698.1 |
| 25 | 96 | 87.2 | 122.4 | 53 | 134 | 602.5 | 729.6 |
| 26 | 98 | 96.3 | 134.7 | 54 | 135 | 633.5 | 761.8 |
| 27 | 100 | 106.0 | 147.7 | 55 | 136 | 665.5 | 794.7 |
| 28 | 102 | 116.2 | 161.4 | 56 | 137 | 698.7 | 828.4 |
| 29 | 104 | 127.0 | 175.7 | 57 | 138 | 733.0 | 862.9 |
| 30 | 105 | 138.4 | 190.7 | 58 | 139 | 768.5 | 898.2 |
| 31 | 107 | 150.4 | 206.3 | 59 | 140 | 805.2 | 934.2 |
| 32 | 109 | 163.1 | 222.7 | 60 | 140 | 843.1 | 970.9 |

To obtain over bark estimates multiply values in the table by 1.18

TABLE-10

## Local Volume Table (UB) of Picea smithiana (Spruce) in British Units

| DBH_in <br> ch | Height_ <br> ft | Timber <br> Volume(UB) <br> cft | Total <br> Volume(UB)__ <br> cft | DBH_in <br> ch | Height_ <br> ft | Timber <br> Volume(UB)_ <br> cft | Total <br> Volume(UB)_ <br> cft |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 37 |  | 2.7 | 34 | 104 | 230.1 | 237.7 |
| 7 | 43 |  | 4.3 | 35 | 105 | 245.8 | 253.7 |
| 8 | 48 |  | 6.4 | 36 | 106 | 262.0 | 270.2 |
| 9 | 53 | 3.2 | 8.8 | 37 | 107 | 278.6 | 287.2 |
| 10 | 57 | 6.1 | 11.7 | 38 | 108 | 295.6 | 304.6 |
| 11 | 60 | 9.5 | 15.1 | 39 | 109 | 313.0 | 322.4 |
| 12 | 64 | 13.3 | 19.0 | 40 | 110 | 330.9 | 340.7 |
| 13 | 67 | 17.7 | 23.4 | 41 | 111 | 349.1 | 359.5 |
| 14 | 70 | 22.5 | 28.2 | 42 | 112 | 367.7 | 378.6 |
| 15 | 72 | 27.9 | 33.6 | 43 | 113 | 386.6 | 398.2 |
| 16 | 75 | 33.8 | 39.5 | 44 | 113 | 405.8 | 418.1 |
| 17 | 77 | 40.2 | 45.9 | 45 | 114 | 425.4 | 438.4 |
| 18 | 79 | 47.2 | 52.9 | 46 | 115 | 445.3 | 459.0 |
| 19 | 81 | 54.6 | 60.4 | 47 | 116 | 465.4 | 480.0 |
| 20 | 83 | 62.6 | 68.5 | 48 | 117 | 485.7 | 501.3 |
| 21 | 85 | 71.2 | 77.0 | 49 | 118 | 506.3 | 522.8 |
| 22 | 87 | 80.3 | 86.2 | 50 | 118 | 527.1 | 544.7 |
| 23 | 89 | 89.9 | 95.8 | 51 | 119 | 548.0 | 566.8 |
| 24 | 90 | 100.0 | 106.1 | 52 | 120 | 569.1 | 589.1 |
| 25 | 92 | 110.7 | 116.8 | 53 | 121 | 590.3 | 611.7 |
| 26 | 93 | 121.9 | 128.1 | 54 | 121 | 611.6 | 634.4 |
| 27 | 95 | 133.7 | 140.0 | 55 | 122 | 633.0 | 657.3 |
| 28 | 96 | 146.0 | 152.4 | 56 | 123 | 654.4 | 680.3 |
| 29 | 98 | 158.7 | 165.3 | 57 | 123 | 675.8 | 703.4 |
| 30 | 99 | 172.0 | 178.8 | 58 | 124 | 697.2 | 726.6 |
| 31 | 100 | 185.8 | 192.7 | 59 | 125 | 718.5 | 749.9 |
| 32 | 101 | 200.1 | 207.2 | 60 | 125 | 739.7 | 773.2 |
| 33 | 102 | 214.9 | 222.2 |  |  |  |  |

To obtain over bark estimates multiply values in the table by 1.15


Figure 2. Deodar Total Volume Model


Figure 3. Deodar Timber Volume Model


Figure 4. Residual Scatter Plot of Deodar Volume


Figure 5. Deodar Height Model


Figure 6. Chilghoza Timber Volume Model


Figure 7. Chilghoza Total Volume Model


Figure 8. Chilghoza Height Model


Figure 9. Residuals Scatter Plot for Chighoza Volume Model


Figure 10. Kail Total Volume Model


Figure 11. Kail Timber Volume Model


Figure 11. Residuals Scatter Plot for Kail Volume Model


Figure 12. Kail Height Model


Figure 13. Fir Total Volume Model


Figure 14. Fir Timber Volume Model


Figure 15. Residuals Scatter Plot for Fir Volume Model


Figure 16. Fir Height Model


Figure 17. Spruce Total Volume Model


Figure 18. Spruce Timber Volume Model


Figure 19. Residuals Scatter Plot for Spruce Volume Model


Figure 20. Spruce Height Model
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## ANNEX-I

DATA COLLECTION FORM FOR PREPARATION OF VOLUME TABLE


Timber Data (logs upto 20 cm dia at thin end)

| Piece No | Dia at mid point (cm) | Length (m) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Smallwood (logs from stem or branches with dia 20 cm at thick end and 5 cm at thin end)

| Piece No | Dia at mid point (cm) | Length (m) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

